Simulation-guided Galaxy Evolution Inference: A Case Study with Strong Lensing Galaxies

Andreas Filipp, 09.05.2023



Ciela Institute

Université nn de Montréal





Agenda

- Galaxy evolution studies
- Simulation-guided galaxy evolution inference
- Application on a strong lensing galaxy



Galaxy Evolution Studies

- Insights in many aspects in astrophysics (e.g. structure formation and growth, nature of Dark and Baryonic Matter...)
- Infeasible to track evolutionary process of individual galaxies
- Studies mostly based on ensembles of galaxies assumed to be from the same population



Classification by mass, magnitude, star formation rate



Classification by Morthology



Simulation-guided Galaxy Evolution Inference

- Trace galaxy evolution of individual galaxies: Match one observed high redshift galaxies with simulations Follow matched simulated galaxies to redshift 0 Match simulated lower redshift galaxies with observations Compare parameters of interest of simulated and observed galaxies





IlustrisTNG

- Highest mass resolution and simulated volume in IllustrisTNG simulations
- Structure and galaxy properties of IllustrisTNG and observations agree well



Matching between observed and simulated galaxies

• Find size-to-mass relations at different reshifts for early types

	Simulated galaxies	Observed Galaxies
Stellar mass [log10(M☉)]	10.9-12.5	10.9-12.5
Star formation rate [M _☉ /yr]	<5	<5
	Strict removal of disk dominated galaxies	Ellipticity >0.3
	7	Error on size <10%



Comparison of Observation and Simulation increasing redshift z_{0} z observed: $0.08 \le z \le 0.12$ z observed: $0.18 \le z \le 0.22$ z observed: $0.28 \le z \le 0.32$ z observed: $0.38 \le z \le 0.42$



Total Bound Stellar Mass/Observed Stellar Mass [log10(M☉)]

Matching between observed and simulated galaxies

- Find size-to-mass relations at different reshifts for early types

Use size-to-mass relations to match observed to simulated galaxies



Application on a Strong Lensing Galaxy

- Proof of concept: examine evolution of the total mass distribution of a strong lensing galaxy at redshift z=0.884
- Lensing is robust against stellar populations models and assumptions on galaxy properties



Application on a Strong Lensing Galaxy

- Start with SL2S021801-080247 at redshift 0.884 (red error bar)
- Select corresponding simulated galaxies (within the blue box)
- Follow forward merger tree in simulation to redshift 0 and select analogies to simulated galaxies in observation
- Compare the mass slope of simulated and observed galaxies



Mass Slope Calculation

- For observation: velocity dispersion modelling (e.g. Schwab, et al. 2010; Chen, et al. 2019)

For observation: velocity dispersion measurements and spherical Jeans





Results

Best linear fit for observation shows increasing trend from redshift 0.9 to 0.2 with δγ/δz = -0.22 ± 0.18
Simulated galaxies show close-to-constant trend δγ/δz = -0.01 ± 0.01



Results

- Appear to be in agreement with mass-selected comparisons, e.g. $\delta \gamma / \delta z = -0.60 \pm 0.15$ Bolton, et al. 2012 (80 ETGs)
- close-to-constant redshift evolution (e.g. Wang, et al. 2019)
- simulations suggest close to no evolution
- Our results heavily dominated by Poisson fluctuation
- Results depend on chosen simulation

For simulated galaxies in IllustrisTNG previous studies also found Results suggest increasing mass-density slopes with time, while

Concusion

- - comparison strategy will play more important role
- Decrease uncertainties on mass slope of observed galaxies by higher quality spectroscopic data (2d stellar kinematics)
- Increase sample on simulation side with MilleniumTNG (public in 2024)

Clearly limited by small sample size in observation and simulation LSST/Euclid increase lens sample from ~400 confirmed to 1e5 lenses





Thank you for your attention











